



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,389	4,389 01/23/2004		Jannis G. Stavrianopoulos	Enz-61(D8)	1697
28171	7590	04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
ENZO BIO	•			RILEY	JEZIA
527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR) NEW YORK, NY 10022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,			1637	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/764,389	STAVRIANOPOULOS ET AL.					
ı	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jezia Riley	1637					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHOR' WHICHE - Extension: after SIX (- If NO perio - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ja</u> s action is FINAL . 2b) This ace this application is in condition for allowant sed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	tim(s) <u>287-307</u> is/are pending in the applicate Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw tim(s) is/are allowed. tim(s) <u>287-307</u> is/are rejected. tim(s) is/are objected to. tim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application	Papers							
10)∐ The App Rej	e specification is objected to by the Examiner of drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and acception are the collected to describe the correction of the collected are the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to be collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to be collected to by the Examiner and acceptance of the collected to be collected.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389 Page 2

Art. Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' arguments, filed on 1/13/06, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

3. Claims 287-307 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glazer et al. (US 5,646,264).

Glazer discloses in Figure 4 the synthesis a heterodimeric dye composition comprising a first dye that comprises a phenanthridinium moiety and a second dye that is different from the first dye. The linker that links both dyes can comprise bromine or clorine counter-ion.

Glazer does not show that the attachment is through the phenyl ring.

Lee et al. disclose heterodimeric dye where the attachment is through a phenyl ring in ortho, meta or para position. See col. 6, Tables 1-5.

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to synthesis heterodimeric dyes as taught by Glazer comprising an attachment via the phenyl ring as shown by Lee. The motivation is that the attachment is provided on a ring that is less steric and also viewed as an electrophilic moiety and more reactive toward nucleophilic moiety. It is well known in the art of organic chemistry that a phenyl ring comprising an electron withdrawing moiety such as the phenanthridinium moiety will be more reactive toward nucleophilic group and therefore can be substituted in ortho, meta or para position.

4. Response to Arguments:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389

Art Unit: 1637

Applicants used the wrong Lee et al. reference in their response. The rejection was using the US Patent of Lee et al. 5,945,526, not the Nucleic Acids Research article (both cited in the PTO-1449). As it was shown in the office action, the rejection mentioned column 6 and Tables 1-5 which are not disclosed in the Nucleic acids Research article but they are clearly disclosed in the US patent 5,945,526.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Further Lee et al. disclose in Figures 9A+ for example, results, which relate to energy transfer fluorescent dyes having enhanced fluorescence.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389

Art Unit: 1637

of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thursday, April 06, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5